

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/530,874	Applicant(s) MOESCHL ET AL.	
	Examiner Abraham M. Matthews	Art Unit 1755	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

 (1) Abraham M. Matthews.

(3) \_\_\_\_

 (2) Applicant's Rep. Mr. Anthony J. Zelano.

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 29 May 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner made a courtesy telephone call to Applicant's representative attorney of record, Mr. Anthony Zelano to confirm if the Application # 10/530,874 has been abandoned by the Applicant, since the statutory period for reply to the Office Action mailed 11/24/2006 has already expired. Mr Zelano confirmed that said Application has been abandoned...